## ISE I Task 3 Reading into writing rating scale

Score	<ul> <li>Reading for writing</li> <li>Understanding of source materials</li> <li>Selection of relevant content from source texts</li> <li>Ability to identify common themes and links within and across the multiple texts</li> <li>Adaptation of content to suit the purpose for writing</li> <li>Use of paraphrasing/summarising</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Task fulfilment</li> <li>Overall achievement of communicative aim</li> <li>Awareness of the writer-reader relationship (style and register)</li> <li>Adequacy of topic coverage</li> </ul>
4	<ul> <li>Full and accurate understanding of the straightforward ideas of all source materials demonstrated</li> <li>A wholly appropriate and accurate selection of relevant content from the source texts</li> <li>Excellent ability to identify the main conclusions, significant points and common themes within and across the multiple texts</li> <li>An excellent adaptation of content to suit the purpose for writing</li> <li>Excellent paraphrasing/summarising skills of short pieces of information demonstrated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Excellent achievement of the communicative aim</li> <li>Excellent awareness of the writer-reader relationship</li> <li>All requirements (ie genre, topic, reader, purpose and number of words) of the instruction appropriately met</li> </ul>
3	<ul> <li>Full and accurate understanding of the straightforward ideas of most source materials demonstrated</li> <li>An appropriate and accurate selection of relevant content from the source texts (ie most relevant ideas are selected and most ideas selected are relevant)</li> <li>Good ability to identify the main conclusions, significant points and common themes within and across the multiple texts</li> <li>A good adaptation of content to suit the purpose for writing</li> <li>Good paraphrasing/summarising skills of short pieces of information demonstrated (with very limited lifting and few disconnected ideas)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Good achievement of the communicative aim (ie important messages conveyed)</li> <li>Good awareness of the writer-reader relationship (ie appropriate use of style and register throughout the text)</li> <li>Most requirements (ie genre, topic, reader, purpose and number of words) of the instruction appropriately met</li> </ul>
2	<ul> <li>Full and accurate understanding of more than half of the source materials demonstrated</li> <li>An acceptable selection of relevant content from the source texts (the content selected must come from more than one text)</li> <li>Acceptable ability to identify the main conclusions, significant points and common themes within and across the multiple texts</li> <li>Acceptable adaptation of content to suit the purpose for writing</li> <li>Acceptable paraphrasing/summarising skills of short pieces of information demonstrated (with some lifting and disconnected ideas)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Acceptable achievement of the communicative aim</li> <li>Some awareness of the writer-reader relationship</li> <li>Most requirements (ie genre, topic, reader, purpose and number of words) of the instruction acceptably met</li> </ul>
1	<ul> <li>Inaccurate and limited understanding of most source materials</li> <li>Inadequate and inaccurate selection of relevant content from the source texts (ie fewer than half of the relevant ideas are selected and most of the selected ideas are irrelevant)</li> <li>Poor ability to identify the main conclusions, significant points and common themes within and across the multiple texts (ie misunderstanding of the common themes and links is evident)</li> <li>Poor adaptation of content to suit the purpose for writing (ie does not use the source texts' content to address the purpose for writing)</li> <li>Poor paraphrasing/summarising skills of short pieces of information demonstrated (with heavy lifting and many disconnected ideas)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Poor achievement of the communicative aim (ie difficult to follow and unconvincing for reader)</li> <li>Poor awareness of the writer-reader relationship</li> <li>Most requirements (ie genre, topic, reader, purpose and number of words) of the instruction are <b>not</b> met</li> </ul>
0	<ul> <li>Task not attempted</li> <li>Paper void</li> <li>No performance to evaluate</li> </ul>	

Score	Organisation and structure 1 Text organisation, including use of paragraphing, beginnings/endings 1 Presentation of ideas and arguments, including clarity and coherence of their development 1 Consistent use of format to suit the task 1 Use of signposting	<ul> <li>Language control</li> <li>Range and accuracy of grammar</li> <li>Range and accuracy of lexis</li> <li>Effect of linguistic errors on understanding</li> <li>Control of punctuation and spelling</li> </ul>
4	<ul> <li>Effective organisation of text (ie clear organisation of text with ideas sequenced in a linear fashion, the use of paragraphs to separate key themes)</li> <li>Very clear presentation and logical development of all straightforward ideas and arguments</li> <li>Appropriate format throughout the text</li> <li>Effective signposting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Good range of grammatical items relating to the task with good level of accuracy</li> <li>Good range of lexical items relating to the task with good level of accuracy</li> <li>Any errors do not impede understanding</li> <li>Excellent spelling and punctuation</li> </ul>
3	<ul> <li>Good organisation of text (eg appropriately organised into paragraphs, appropriate opening and closing)</li> <li>Clear presentation and logical development of most straightforward ideas and arguments</li> <li>Appropriate format in most of the text</li> <li>Good signposting (eg appropriate use of cohesive devices and topic sentences to address a linear sequence)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Appropriate range of grammatical items relating to the task with good level of accuracy (errors may occur when handling more complex ideas)</li> <li>Appropriate range of lexical items relating to the task with good level of accuracy (may contain some circumlocutions)</li> <li>Errors occasionally impede understanding but the overall message is clear</li> <li>Spelling and punctuation good enough to be followed (punctuation of simple sentences is free from errors)</li> </ul>
2	<ul> <li>Acceptable organisation of text (ie showed some awareness of the need for structure with new ideas introduced in new sentences)</li> <li>Presentation and development of most straightforward ideas and arguments are acceptably clear and logical</li> <li>Appropriate format in general</li> <li>Acceptable signposting (eg some appropriate use of cohesive devices and topic sentences to address a linear sequence)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Acceptable level of grammatical accuracy and appropriacy relating to the task, though range may be restricted</li> <li>Acceptable level of lexical accuracy and appropriacy relating to the task, though range may be restricted</li> <li>Errors sometimes impede understanding</li> <li>Acceptable spelling and punctuation</li> </ul>
1	<ul> <li>Very limited or poor text organisation which causes the reader difficulties</li> <li>Most ideas and arguments lack coherence and do not progress logically</li> <li>Inappropriate format throughout the text</li> <li>Poor signposting (lacks use of simple cohesive devices)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Inadequate evidence of grammatical range and accuracy (may have control over the language below the level)</li> <li>Inadequate evidence of lexical range and accuracy (may have control over the language below the level)</li> <li>Errors frequently impede understanding</li> <li>Poor spelling and punctuation throughout</li> </ul>
0	<ul> <li>Task not attempted</li> <li>Paper void</li> <li>No performance to evaluate</li> </ul>	